



Nov 27, 2018

This document provides links to third party testing reports and other documentation and discussion of the results. Intertek did testing for the former EternaTile, Inc and has re-recorded tests in the name of 3 IN 1 ROOF. Inc.



Intertek Testing Services NA Inc. Tel +1-949-448-4100
25800 Commercentre Drive
Lake Forest, CA 92630 www.intertek.com

October 11, 2017

Carmen Bellavia
3 in 1 Roof, Inc.
5041 Kittridge Rd.
Huber Heights, OH 45424

Phone: 833-361-7663
Email: Carmen.CEO@3in1ROOF.com

Dear Mr. Bellavia,

Subject: Change of Company Name

In response to your letter of October 10, 2017, we will revise your records such that 3 in 1 Roof, Inc. will replace EternaTile, Inc. as the Applicant for all Listing Reports that will be issued. As such, the test reports issued to EternaTile, Inc. and provided as supporting data for the pending Listing Reports will be acceptable for use in the 3 in 1 Roof, Inc., listings. Additional forms may be required.

I trust this will meet your needs, however, if you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES NA INC.

Michael Beaton, P.E.
Vice President, Certification Services

cc: BP HelpDesk, Arlington Heights



This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.



Table of contents

Intertek Testing Reports

[Thermal Performance](#) (ASTM C 1363-1)

[Static Uplift Resistance](#) (TAS 101 and TAS 102)

[Reflectance](#) (ASTM C 1371-04a (reapproved 2010) ASTM C 1549-09 and ASTM E1980-11)

[Fire Resistance](#) (ASTM-e108)

[Salt Spray](#) (ASTM B117)

[2000 hr Weather](#) (ASTM G155-05a)

[Compression Tensile](#) (ASTM D1623-09 and ASTM D1621-16)

[Wind and Wind Driven Rain](#) (ASTM TAS 100)

[Concrete tile testing](#) (ASTM TAS 112)

Other Documentation and Simulation Reports

[3 IN 1 ROOF System Technical Guide](#)

[3IN1ROOF FL Evaluation FL \(FINAL seal\)](#)

[Florida Product Approval](#)

[Florida Solar Energy Center Simulation of Energy Savings, reroofing older homes](#)

Intertek Testing Reports

[Thermal Performance](#)

| Summary of Results | |
|---|------------------------|
| Test Unit | R-Value of Siding Only |
| Test #1: EternaTile Panels (exterior seams un-sealed) | 9.6 |
| Test #2: EternaTile Panels (exterior seams sealed) | 22.0 |

Discussion:

3 IN 1 Roof tiles are 3 lb closed cell polyurethane with R-6.5 per inch. The average thickness of 3 IN 1 Roof tiles is 2.25 inches resulting in R value of 14.62. Top coat R value is 0.02. Densdeck R value is 0.52 and Granulated cap sheet (Flintlastic or Mulehide) R value is 0.55, and plywood/OSB R value is 0.62. Total R-value of 3 IN 1 Roof system is 16. When 2" of SPF closed cell foam is added to the underside of roof deck at rafters the total R value of the system is R-22 which is validated by Test #2, installation with exterior seams sealed. For Test #1 with exterior seams un-sealed the 3 IN 1 Roof system is effective preventing radiant solar gain into the attic. But, in winter, heat from the living space that rises into the attic penetrates and heats up the SPF foam & above decking. This heat can then be wicked away by a breeze if 3 IN 1 Roof tiles are unsealed. This is validated by Test 2. In practice, this will likely result in higher energy savings for 3 IN 1 ROOF customers who live in tropical or moderate climates where summer temperatures are high and winters are mild.

3 IN 1 ROOF will complete a spread of flame test on a sealed installation test roof in order to secure full R-value of R-16 for the system.

[Static Uplift Resistance](#)

Introduction: Intertek-ATI was contracted by EternaTile to conduct TAS 101 and TAS 102 testing in accordance with Miami-Dade County requirements. The tiles were tested as uplift based systems at a 4:12 slope. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Test Results

| Attachment Method | Test Protocol | Average Ultimate Load |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Adhesive | TAS 101 | 295.2 lb _f |
| Mechanical | TAS 102 | 152.4 lb _f |

Discussion: 3 IN 1 ROOF will focus on the adhesive installation which produces 200mph wind resistance. 3 IN 1 ROOF has no plans to market the mechanical installation at this time.

2 Introduction

Intertek has conducted testing for Eterna Tile, Inc. on Eterna Tile Inc. 3-lbs foam @ 50-55 mils proprietary coating to calculate the Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) at standard conditions. Testing was conducted in accordance with ASTM C1371-04a (reapproved 2010) Standard Test Method for Determination of Emittance of Material Near Room Temperature Using Portable Emisometers, ASTM C1549-09 Standard Test Method for Determination of Solar Reflectance Near Ambient Temperatures Using a Portable Solar Reflectometer; and ASTM E1980-11 Standard Practice for Calculating Solar Reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low-Sloped Opaque Surfaces. This evaluation began November 25, 2014 and was completed November 25, 2014.

ASTM E1980-11 Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) Results

| Convective Coefficient | SRI |
|------------------------|-----|
| Low | 38 |
| Medium | 43 |
| High | 46 |

Discussion:

Reflectance is the percentage of incoming visible light that is reflected by the roof and not absorbed. 3 IN 1 ROOF SRI of 43 is well within the Cool Roof Rating Council requirements for a cool roof.

Certification requirements for different cool roof programs

| Slope | Min. solar reflectance | Min. emittance | Min. solar reflectance index |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| ENERGY STAR | | | |
| Low, initial | 0.65 | | |
| Low, aged | 0.50 | | |
| Steep, initial | 0.25 | | |
| Steep, aged | 0.15 | | |

[Wikipedia](#)

Emittance is the ability of a hot roof to lose heat. 3 IN 1 ROOF's insulative property prevents solar gain into the attic during the daytime by preventing the tile itself from getting hot. Hence emittance is not a relevant factor in a 3 IN 1 ROOF being considered a "cool roof". 3 IN 1 ROOF is an entirely different product class from a conventional shingle or tile roof and 3 IN 1 ROOF will work with the CRRC to determine how to best represent 3 IN 1 ROOF in its CRRC cool roof database.

[Fire Tests \(ASTM e108\)](#)

The plywood decks were constructed by Intertek technicians according to the specifications of test standard ASTM E108 (2010) “*Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Roof Coverings*”.

1. The test material was submitted by the client.
2. Test samples were installed by Intertek technicians and the client.

The tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM E108 (2010) “*Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Roof Coverings*” and UL 790 (2004). Below is a summary of the test results.

| Sample # | Test | Results |
|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Spread of Flames | Class “A” |
| 2 | Spread of Flames | Class “A” |
| 3 | Intermittent Flames | Class “A” |
| 4 | Intermittent Flames | Class “A” |
| 5 | Burning Brand | Class “A” |
| 6 | Burning Brand | Class “A” |
| 7 | Burning Brand | Class “A” |
| 8 | Burning Brand | Class “A” |

Discussion: “Materials and Methods” The 3 IN 1 ROOF system achieved Class A fire test results for all three categories of test with “room to spare”. The system achieves this result through a proprietary topcoat that reduces the effect of spread of flame. The system achieves Class A results with intermittent flames based on the top coat and the property of polyurethane foam that, when burned produces an ash that covers unburned foam and prevents reignition. The system produces Class A results with burning brand based on top coat, ash, and the fire retardance of the Densdeck layer.

“Materials”

Achieving Class A ASTM-e108 fire resistance tests enables foam to be used to emulate premium cement, slate, and wood shake flat tiles. Foam as a roofing material is a proven material having been used for flat roofs on big box and industrial building for many decades. Foam as a step sloped roofing tile emulation “material” is an innovation.

“Methods”

These premium looking and light weight roofing tiles then open up new roofing construction “methods” which produce a high performance roof. Extreme wind resistance, Insulation, and Integrated PV Solar are the 3 IN 1 ROOF features.

- 1) Extreme wind protection comes from using adhesive foam instead of nails to adhere the tiles to the roof deck. The tile is bonded to the roof deck at the granulated cap sheet layer. This produces bonding like thousands of Velcro hooks on each tile. The adhesive foam is insulated from heat and cold swings by the tiles and hence the bond does not deteriorate like nails that work themselves loose from the underlayment over years of temperature cycling. Adhesive foam can be applied to multiple surface areas of the tile and thus minimize any mechanical loosening of nails from wind or foot traffic driven movement of the tile.
- 2) The Insulation reduces the heat in the attic much closer to living space temperatures and reduces the need for solar power to produce for HVAC purposes, hence a smaller solar installation is required. Cooler attic space is

especially true for an unvented attic. A cooler attic also increases the efficiency of AC vents in the attic and enables installation of battery storage in the attic.

- 3) Use of foam as a platform for solar enables 3 IN 1 ROOF to use conventional mono or poly silicon solar modules, the most tried and true solar technology available. 3 IN 1 ROOF can be agnostic about specific solar module suppliers, can shop the market for the best price-performance value for the customer and can easily enable the homeowner to “upgrade” solar modules when needed over time since the 3 IN 1 ROOF will outlast the traditional warranted life of solar modules.

[Salt Spray](#)

SECTION 6

TEST SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

| TEST PROCEDURE | NUMBER OF SPECIMENS | NOMINAL SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS | VISUAL CHARACTERISTICS |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ASTM - B117 | 3 | 14" x 23" Roof Panels | Light-grey, texturized pieces |

SECTION 7

TEST RESULTS

ASTM B117 - Salt Fog Exposure (90 hours)

| SPECIMEN NO. | HOURS IN QFOG-B117 | DIMENSIONS | OBSERVATIONS |
|--------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 90 | 14" x 23" | No Surface Area Compromised |
| 2 | 90 | 14" x 23" | No Surface Area Compromised |
| 3 | 90 | 14" x 23" | No Surface Area Compromised |

[2000 hr weather](#)

| Test Description | Results | Observations |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Xenon Arc UV Exposure | 2000 hours complete | Red color of tiles has faded in all samples. |

[Compression Tensile](#)

| Table 1. Test Results | |
|--|-------------|
| Property | Test Result |
| Tensile Strength, psi • As Received | 29.2 |
| Compressive Strength @ 10% Deformation, psi • As Received | 43.5 |

[Wind and Wind Driven Rain \(TAS 100\)](#)

| WIND SPEED | OBSERVATIONS |
|------------|------------------|
| 35 mph | No water leakage |
| 70 mph | No water leakage |
| 90 mph | No water leakage |
| 110 mph | No water leakage |

[Concrete Tile Testing \(TAS 112\)](#)

DIMENSION AND WEIGHT PROPERTIES RESULTS

| SAMPLE | LENGTH (IN) | WIDTH (IN) | WEIGHT (LBS) |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 22.44 | 13.31 | 1.656 |
| 2 | 22.47 | 13.25 | 1.810 |
| 3 | 22.41 | 13.28 | 1.804 |
| 4 | 22.50 | 13.25 | 1.567 |
| 5 | 22.44 | 13.22 | 1.559 |
| AVERAGE | 22.45 | 13.26 | 1.679 |
| PUBLISHED VALUE | 22.50 | 13.25 | 1.700 |

TRANSVERSE STRENGTH RESULTS

| SAMPLE | PEAK LOAD (LBF) | PEAK LOAD (N) |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1 | 204 | 907 |
| 2 | 228 | 1014 |
| 3 | 191 | 850 |
| 4 | 148 | 658 |
| 5 | 167 | 743 |
| AVERAGE | 192 | 834 |

ABSORPTION RESULTS

| SAMPLE | Measured Weights (lb) | | | Water Absorption (lb/ft ³) |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | Wet | Immersed | Dry | |
| 1 | 2.054 | -17.0 | 1.704 | 1.1 |
| 2 | 2.190 | | 1.880 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 2.074 | | 1.742 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 1.958 | | 1.652 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 1.936 | | 1.628 | 1.0 |
| AVERAGE | 2.042 | | 1.721 | 1.1 |

Other Documentation and Simulation Reports

[3 IN 1 ROOF System Technical Guide](#)



3 IN 1 ROOF Roofing Systems Technical Guide

The New Standard for HPA Codes
(High Performance Attic)

[Florida Evaluation](#)

This Evaluation Report consists of pages 1 through 6.

Prepared by:

Paul Orr, NUTEK ENGINEERING
CA 29217. Florida License No. PE#64898



The facsimile seal appearing was authorized by Paul Orr, NUTEK ENGINEERING PE#64898 This does serve as an electronically signed document. Signed, sealed hardcopies have been transmitted to the Product Approval Administrator and to the named client

CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENCE:

1. P.H.O., NUTEK ENGINEERING does not have, nor does it intend to acquire or will it acquire, a financial interest in any company manufacturing or distributing products it evaluates.
2. P.H.O., NUTEK ENGINEERING is not owned, operated or controlled by any company manufacturing or distributing products it evaluates.
3. P.H.O., NUTEK ENGINEERING does not have nor will acquire, a financial interest in any company manufacturing or distributing products for which the evaluation reports are being issued.
4. P.H.O., NUTEK ENGINEERING does not have, nor will acquire, a financial interest in any other entity involved in the approval process of the product.

P.H.O., NUTEK ENGINEERING
CA 29217
License of Authorization PE#64898

Evaluation Report - 1081

02/12/2018
Page 1 of 6



- [BCIS Home](#)
- [Log In](#)
- [User Registration](#)
- [Hot Topics](#)
- [Submit Surcharge](#)
- [Stats & Facts](#)
- [Publications](#)
- [FBC Staff](#)
- [BCIS Site Map](#)
- [Links](#)
- [Search](#)



Product Approval
USER: Public User

[Product Approval Menu](#) > [Product or Application Search](#) > [Application List](#)



Search Criteria

[Refine Search](#)

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Code Version | 2017 FL# | ALL |
| Application Type | ALL Product Manufacturer | 3 in 1 Roof inc. |
| Category | ALL Subcategory | ALL |
| Application Status | ALL Compliance Method | ALL |
| Quality Assurance Entity | ALL Quality Assurance Entity Contract Expired | ALL |
| Product Model, Number or Name | ALL Product Description | ALL |
| Approved for use in HVHZ | ALL Approved for use outside HVHZ | ALL |
| Impact Resistant | ALL Design Pressure | ALL |
| Other | ALL | |

Search Results - Applications

| FL# | Type | Manufacturer | Validated By | Status |
|-------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| FL19997 | New | 3 in 1 Roof Inc. Category: Roofing Subcategory: Roofing Tiles | Don Arpin (954) 772-8345 | Approved |

*Approved by DBPR. Approvals by DBPR shall be reviewed and ratified by the POC and/or the Commission if necessary.

Table 4. EternaTile Roof Construction

| Layer | Material | Conductivity | Thickness | Thickness | Density | Specific Heat | Rvalue |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| | | Btu/hr-ft ² -F | Inches | Feet | lbs/ft ³ | Btu/lb-F | h·ft ² ·°F/Btu |
| Attic Air | Air Film Coefficient | | | | | | 0.728 |
| Existing Decking | Plywood | 0.0667 | 0.5 | 0.0417 | 34 | 0.29 | 0.62 |
| DensDeck | Dense Board | 0.0801 | 0.5 | 0.0417 | 48 | 0.29 | 0.52 |
| Peel and stick | Flintastic | 0.0473 | 0.3125 | 0.0260 | 70 | 0.3 | 0.55 |
| ETERNATILE | R-14 Foam | 0.0128 | 2.1538 | 0.1795 | 4.3335* | 0.38 | 14.00*** |
| Top Coat | Finish | 0.4200 | 0.1 | 0.0083 | 49** | 0.2 | 0.02 |
| Outside air | Air Film Coefficient | | | | | | 0.25 |
| Total Roof | | | | | | | 16.69 |

* based on manufacturer indicating the finished product is 5.5 lbs and a volume of 0.808 cu. ft., applied 3.5 lbs to foam tile
 ** have created the top coat layer to account for two pounds
 *** Rvalue of 14.00 for foam based on test of 6.5 for one inch: <http://www.gaco.com/products/PDS-GacoFlashFoam.pdf>

Simulation Results

EnergyGauge USA annual simulation runs included the above R-11 and R-19 vented attic base-case configurations and EternaTile configurations for the 13 cities shown in Table 1. Simulation runs for each city included:

- R-11 and R-19 Vented Attic Base Cases
- R-11 and R-19 Vented Attics with R-14 EternaTile
- R-11 and R-19 Sealed Attics with R-14 EternaTile

The applicability of the savings results is limited to houses of similar efficiency as those indicated in the House Characteristics section. **Savings will be lower for more efficient houses.**

Summer attic temperatures are greatly reduced with foam roofs as modeled. Peak attic temperature reductions ranged from 30 to 52°F. The solar reflectance of 0.43 is a large improvement over a typical shingle or dark tile roof. Furthermore, the foam roof configuration has R-14 level of insulation protecting the attic. Some cities were modeled with ductwork in the attic, and the cooler attic for those cases is a significant benefit in summer; in winter however, there are times when a cooler attic may increase the need for heating. Prior to installation of a cool roof product, it is recommended that ducts be inspected for sections that may be uninsulated. Uninsulated supply ducts in cooler attics may lead to condensation (condensation may also occur in in hotter attics, but cooler attics may not dry out as well).

As expected, the R-0 ceiling insulation simulations showed increased EternaTile savings compared with R-11 and R-19 ceiling insulation cases for both Miami and New York. The New York savings increased the most, going from 4.0% for an R-14 EternaTile roof with R-11 vented attic to 19.1% for an R-14 EternaTile roof with an R-0 vented attic, both compared with base vented attics. Similarly, savings for a New York R-14 EternaTile roof with a sealed attic increased from 8.3% with R-11 ceiling insulation to 33.5% for the same sealed attic with R-0 ceiling insulation, again both compared with base vented attics with composition shingle roof.

EternaTile, as modeled, offers great potential to reduce energy use in many older homes. It will also create a much cooler attic compared with traditional vented attics.